



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ON
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,638	04/20/2001	Michael DiCuccio	P-11549.00	2152

7590 09/10/2003

GIRMA WOLDE-MICHAEL
MEDTRONIC, INC
PATENT DEPARTMENT, LC340
710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

SAADAT, CAMERON

[REDACTED] ART UNIT [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

3713

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003 //

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/839,638	DICUCCIO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Cameron Saadat	3713	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 July 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 10-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____. 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group III defined by claims 10-15 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no undue burden imposed on the examiner to examine the subject application as originally filed. This is not found persuasive because Groups I, II, and III have attained recognition in the art as a separate subject for inventive effort, and also a separate field of search is clearly required for each distinct invention. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. **Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Anderson et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0137014 A1; hereinafter Anderson).**

Regarding claims 10 and 14, Anderson discloses a method of designing and making a surgical instrument, the method and process comprising: creating a computer model of the surgical instrument; using the model of the surgical instrument in a surgical simulation; changing the computer model of the surgical instrument; and using the changed model in a surgical

simulation; (as per claim 14) manufacturing the surgical instrument according to the parameters of the second version of the surgical instrument. (¶134-136; fig.1).

Regarding claims 11 and 15, Anderson discloses a method and process wherein the surgical simulation comprises haptic feedback (¶ 139).

Regarding claim 12, Anderson discloses a method further comprising defining a goal for the surgical instrument and assessing the performance of the model of the surgical instrument in achieving the goal and the performance of the changed model of the surgical instrument in achieving the goal (¶ 9 and 18).

Regarding claim 13, Anderson discloses a method further comprising comparing the assessments (¶ 134).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Bradbury et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0007294 A1) – disclose a method of modeling, designing, and manufacturing medical devices.
- Doi et al. (USPN 5,590,268) - disclose a method of designing an operating room for a medical appliance.
- Zelt, III (USPN 6,314,388) – discloses a design tool for manufacturing metal products, including medical equipment.

Art Unit: 3713

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cameron Saadat whose telephone number is 703-305-5490. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa J Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

cf
CS

T.J. Walberg
Teresa Walberg
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700